From the Director—2010

Although the Center on Race and Social Problems (CRSP) has accomplished much in its almost 10 years of existence, I think it’s safe to say that 2010 was our most successful and productive year to date! CRSP led a variety of initiatives and activities that reached not only across the University, but across the region, nation, and ocean as well.

Without a doubt, the highlight of this amazing year was the Race in America conference. The conference brought together scholars, practitioners, and policymakers from around the country and provided us an opportunity to have an open and productive dialogue about racial inequities in America. Perhaps even more importantly, the conference provided us with methods and ideas related to how best to resolve these inequities.

I am pleased to say that we are currently compiling seven reports on each of the conference’s subject areas—economics; education; criminal justice; race relations; health; mental health; and families, youth, and the elderly—and they soon will all be available online. The first report—“Economics”—will be released this spring.

We did not hold Summer Institutes in 2010 due to the resources and time it took to put on the Race in America conference. However, we had no shortage of learning opportunities available this year. The CRSP Speaker Series continues to attract large audiences that I am happy to report include a significant number of community leaders. Their attendance ensures that the information provided by our speakers is reaching those who have the greatest opportunity to use it.

CRSP’s journal, Race and Social Problems, is now in its second year, and has proven to be a success thanks to first-rate contributors, editors, and the diligent leadership of our staff. In particular, I would like to thank Gary Koeske, our editor in chief, for a great job of managing the flow and sustaining the high quality of our manuscripts. In addition, I want to thank the associate editor, Ralph Bangs, for assisting greatly in the procurement of manuscripts submitted to the journal. To view the articles from the first two years and the call for papers for the journal’s upcoming edition, please visit www.crsp.pitt.edu.

Certainly, one of the most fascinating and educational events of the year was our trip to Cuba—hosted by the University of Havana—that we offered as part of a graduate course here at the School of Social Work. I was privileged to join CRSP Associate Director Ralph Bangs and 16 graduate students from various schools at Pitt for the unique opportunity to observe Cuba’s social policies and practices firsthand. With the help of the Latin American studies program and its director, Kathleen Dewalt, and Jeff Whitehead of the study abroad office, we were able to visit agencies that offered insight into their work with the most vulnerable members of Cuban society. Despite the hardships we witnessed, the people were friendly and open to answering all of our questions. We hope to continue to visit this intriguing country in the years to come.

As always, I want to thank those who have so consistently supported and sustained the center.

Sincerely,

Larry E. Davis

Director, Center on Race and Social Problems
Dean and Donald M. Henderson Professor, University of Pittsburgh School of Social Work

The University of Pittsburgh is an affirmative action, equal opportunity institution.
Published in cooperation with the Department of University Marketing Communications. UMC76541-0311
**Background**

“The problem of the 20th century is the problem of the color line.”  
W.E.B. DuBois, author, 1903

**Mission**

Although progress has been made since these words were spoken more than 100 years ago, America’s race-related problems remain with us in the 21st century. Race and ethnicity matter in virtually all aspects of our society and are likely to increase in importance; currently, persons of color make up 30 percent of the U.S. population, and by 2050, this portion will increase to 50 percent. This shift will have a profound effect on the social, psychological, and economic lives of all members of our society.

The mission of the Center on Race and Social Problems (CRSP) is to conduct applied social science research on race, ethnicity, and color and their influence on the quality of life for all Americans. The University of Pittsburgh established CRSP in 2002 to help lead America further along the path to social justice by conducting research, mentoring emerging scholars, and disseminating knowledge. CRSP is multidisciplinary in its approach and multicultural in its focus and was the first race-related research center to be housed in a school of social work.

**Goals**

The goals of the center are to:

- Foster high quality, multidisciplinary research on racial issues. CRSP aims to achieve this goal by developing new knowledge and gaining substantial local and national funding for research.
- Mentor scholars who focus on race-related research. CRSP aims to accomplish this goal by providing students, postdoctoral fellows, faculty, and other scholars with guidance and support in project design, proposal development and presentation, data gathering and analysis, and publishing.
- Disseminate race-related knowledge and information. CRSP aims to accomplish this goal by providing forums, conferences, lectures, summer institutes, and courses.

**Areas of Focus**

The center focuses on race-related social problems in the following six areas:

- Economic Disparities
- Educational Disparities
- Interracial Group Relations
- Mental Health
- Youth, Families, and the Elderly
- Criminal Justice

**Activities and Functions**

Aligning itself with the mission of the University of Pittsburgh, the center is engaged in the following activities and functions:

**Research**

Executing a high-quality, multidisciplinary applied research program is at the core of the center’s mission. Faculty who work with the center are encouraged to focus on developing realistic and achievable solutions to the topics they address.

Faculty members affiliated with the center are organized into groups based on the six problem areas addressed by the center. These groups, called Research Advisory Panels (RAPs), bring together faculty members with similar interests to work individually or in groups to develop new research initiatives.

Addressing race-related problems requires a wide range of expertise. The center establishes and maintains relationships with interested faculty from across the University and provides these research advisory panels with an identity and a location for research and training on racial topics. The center aids University researchers in developing and obtaining external funding for their projects. Finally, the center strives to become a national resource for race-related social science information for both academics and the general public, and it serves as a collection site for questionnaires, instruments, and relevant research resources.

**Service**

With a focus on, but not limited to, the Pittsburgh region, CRSP serves the informational needs of the public, private, and nonprofit sectors. It provides the community with both data and technical assistance, conducts special studies on current issues, and disseminates research findings. Interested citizens can attend the center’s seminars, lectures, and conferences to gather information or engage in dialogue on race-related issues. They are also encouraged to go to the CRSP Web site for links to the latest newsletters, papers, and books and information about conferences, summer institutes, and course offerings.

**Education**

Anyone interested in race-related issues has a variety of opportunities to get involved with the center’s research. The center educates, trains, and mentors scholars from the undergraduate to the postdoctoral level. A position on a research project affords individuals the opportunity to gain not only valuable skills and experience, but also funding for their education. The center also offers graduate courses on race and related social problems.

**Academic Advisory Committee**

The center is guided by an Academic Advisory Committee of experts from across disciplines at the University of Pittsburgh and around the country. Members from the University of Pittsburgh are:

- Kathleen Blee, Department of Sociology (Chair)
- Willa Boswell, School of Nursing
- Sara Goodkind, School of Social Work
- Audrey J. Murrell, Joseph M. Katz Graduate School of Business
- Edmund M. Ricci, Graduate School of Public Health
- Janet Schofield, Department of Psychology and Learning Research and Development Center
- Richard Schulz, University Center for Social and Urban Research
- Stephen B. Thomas, Graduate School of Public Health
- John M. Wallace Jr., School of Social Work
- Hide Yamatani, School of Social Work
- Lu-in Wang, School of Law

Members from outside the University of Pittsburgh are:

- Alfred Blumstein, Carnegie Mellon University School of Public Policy and Management
- Joe Feagin, Texas A&M University Department of Sociology
- Patricia Gurin, University of Michigan Program on Intergroup Relations
- James Jackson, University of Michigan Institute for Social Research
- Ruth G. McKay, Boston College Graduate School of Social Work
- Michael Sherraden, Washington University in St. Louis
- George Warren Brown School of Social Work
- Robert Taylor, University of Michigan School of Social Work
Research Advisory Panels

The center provides social scientists with both an identity and a location for research and training on racial topics. It also aids researchers in developing and obtaining external funding for their projects. Faculty associated with the center conduct basic and applied studies, quantitative and qualitative data collection, and primary and secondary data analysis. Faculty members affiliated with the center are organized into research initiatives. They also provide a place and opportunity for groups based on the center’s six areas of focus. These Research Advisory Panels (RAPs) bring together faculty members with similar interests to work individually or in groups to develop new research initiatives. They also provide a place and opportunity for members to present findings on their existing studies as well as to receive feedback on the progress of their work to date.

The chairs of the RAP groups in 2009–10 were:

- Criminal Justice: Sara Goodkind, School of Social Work
- Economic Disparities: Ralph Bangs, CRSP
- Educational Disparities: Ralph Bangs, CRSP
- Families, Youth, and Elderly: Rachel Fusco, School of Social Work
- Internacial Group Relations: Lu-in Wang, School of Law
- Mental Health: Carol Anderson, Department of Psychiatry

Externally Funded Projects

Evaluating the Pittsburgh Initiative to Reduce Crime (PIRC)

John M. Wallace Jr., Ralph Bangs, and Erin Dalton

This project examines the process, outcomes, and impact of the Pittsburgh Initiative to Reduce Crime (PIRC) on gun-related violence and homicide in the City of Pittsburgh.

Although Pittsburgh is recognized as one of the safest major cities in the nation, the problem of gun violence—particularly in specific neighborhoods and among particular populations—remains an important one. More specifically, more than half (55 percent) of Pittsburgh’s homicides in 2006 occurred in just 10 of the city’s 90 neighborhoods, and although African Americans comprised only 27 percent of Pittsburgh’s population in 2006, they accounted for nearly 90 percent of the city’s homicide victims (Dalton, 2007). Further, the 2006 homicide rate among African Americans was more than 18 times the rate among Whites (62.9 per 100,000 vs. 2.9 per 100,000).

In response to this problem, Mayor Luke Ravenstahl, Councilman Ricky Burgess, and other Pittsburgh leaders are working with Professor David Kennedy from the City University of New York John Jay College of Criminal Justice to adapt Kennedy’s nationally recognized Boston Gun Project model to Pittsburgh, resulting in the creation of PIRC. In an effort to assess the effectiveness of the PIRC strategy, Bangs, Wallace, and Dalton are collaborating with the governing board of PIRC, Pittsburgh law enforcement, social service providers, clergy, community members, and other key stakeholders to accomplish the following specific aims:

1. To document the design and implementation process of PIRC
2. To evaluate the impact of PIRC on homicide in the city of Pittsburgh
3. To make recommendations to the city on ways to address the problem of violence based on lessons learned from the implementation of PIRC

The first evaluation report is expected in early 2011.

A National Study of Minority and Women Business Contracting

Ralph Bangs and Audrey Murrell

June 2006–May 2011

Funded by: The Ford Foundation, Carnegie Corporation of New York, Charles Stewart Mott Foundation, Open Society Institute, and the Falk Foundation

In this study, researchers summarized research literature on the effects of two common types of programs: (1) merit aid, including the Pittsburgh Promise, which requires students to have a minimum GPA and/or test score to qualify and (2) universal programs, which allow nearly all high school graduates to qualify. The purpose was to determine which programs best achieve the following four common goals for local college aid programs:

- Improving outcomes related to K–12 student achievement, such as higher levels of effort, attendance, grades, test scores, and high school graduation rates
- Improving school district outcomes, such as greater student enrollment
- Improving public data the share of total prime contracts in a recent year that went to MBEs and WBEs. Generally, local governments award very small shares of total prime contract spending to these firms. In addition, Bangs and Murrell are in the process of interviewing 20 strong MBEs and 20 strong WBEs in each of the cities to find out what barriers within local government prevent these firms from obtaining prime contracts. The barriers often include large contract sizes, giving late notice or no notice about contract opportunities, and allowing large change orders and contract amendments for majority firms. Another part of the project is to build partnerships between the researchers and local organizations in order to increase understanding of discriminatory barriers and encourage local government adoption of affirmative action programs. The partners will develop political and legal strategies to get reluctant local governments to change policies and practices.

Forecasts for Alternative Designs for the Pittsburgh Promise

Ralph Bangs, Larry E. Davis, William Elliott, and Erik Ness

(Edison Schools)

June 1, 2008–November 30, 2010

Funded by: The Falk Foundation

College is critical for attaining a middle-class life, but rising college costs and stagnant family incomes have made college less affordable. During the past 20 years, many states and local areas have started college scholarship programs for high school graduates in order to increase college access. Pittsburgh Public Schools started its program, called the Pittsburgh Promise, in 2008.

In this study, researchers summarized research literature on the effects of two common types of programs: (1) merit aid, including the Pittsburgh Promise, which requires students to have a minimum GPA and/or test score to qualify and (2) universal programs, which allow nearly all high school graduates to qualify. The purpose was to determine which programs best achieve the following four common goals for local college aid programs:

- Improving outcomes related to K–12 student achievement, such as higher levels of effort, attendance, grades, test scores, and high school graduation rates
- Improving school district outcomes, such as greater student enrollment
• Improving college student outcomes, such as greater enrollment, attending higher quality colleges, increased retention, increased completion, and lower debt
• Improving community conditions, such as reducing poverty and increasing the population

Monitoring the Future: Drug Use and Lifestyles of American Youth

John M. Wallace Jr. (co-investigator), Lloyd Johnston, Jerald Bachman, Patrick O’Malley, and John Schulenberg (University of Michigan)

Funded by: National Institute on Drug Abuse

Monitoring the Future is an ongoing epidemiological research and reporting project that began in 1975 and has become one of the nation’s principal sources of reliable information on trends in drug use among adolescents and young adults. This research study has three broad and complementary aims: (1) to monitor drug use and potential explanatory factors among American secondary school students, college students, other young adults, and selected age groups beyond young adulthood; (2) to distinguish which of three fundamentally different kinds of change—maturational, historical, and/or cohort—are occurring for various types of drug use, including the use of tobacco and alcohol; and (3) to study the causes, consequences, and maturational patterns associated with these different types of change in drug use. Papers completed/in progress this year focus on the relationship between race/ethnicity and social class differences in adolescent alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana use and on the epidemiology and etiology of substance use and violence in American schools.

Internally Funded Projects

Each year, the center makes a University-wide announcement requesting proposals for pilot research projects. When evaluating the proposed projects, emphasis is placed on the overall value of the project to the center’s goals, the scientific merit of the research, the likelihood that the work will lead to scholarly publication, and the potential for substantial external funding of subsequent studies.

Ongoing Pilot Studies

New in 2010

Chronic Disease and Mental Health Comorbidity among Latino and Asian Americans

Chyongchiou Jeng Lin and Amy Ai

Funding: $10,000

Using the National Latino and Asian Americans Study data, researchers submitted the following abstracts to the Association of Public Health Administration (APHA) for the annual APHA conference in Colorado in November 2010: The Effects of Discrimination and Acculturation to Service-seeking Satisfaction for Latina and Asian American Women: Implications for Mental Health Professions and Health Disparities among Asian American Women: Findings from the National Latino and Asian American Study. Manuscripts are in progress based on these abstracts.

Funded in 2009

Race and Search Warrant Trustworthiness in the City of Pittsburgh and Environs

David Harris, Andrew Tassile (Howard University), and Jeannine Bell (University of Indiana-Bloomington)

Funding: $10,000

Evaluating the effectiveness and fairness of search warrant practices; (2) what the little prior research on such practices suggests about the need for action; and (3) the psychological, social, and material obstacles to action and how to overcome them.

Funded in 2008

Service Pathways among African American and Caucasian Families in Allegheny County Following Contact with Child Welfare Services

Mary Beth Rauktis, Julie S. McCrae, Helen Cahalane, and Cynthia Bradley-King

Funding: $5,960

This study documented the early service paths of African American, biracial, and White children following referral to Allegheny County child welfare services, as well as identifying local decision-making points, case characteristics, organization, and community factors that might contribute to service disparities. The study questions were:

• What is the extent of racial disproportionality at referral, investigation, and service provision?

Data collected to date are suggestive on a few points, raising the possibility of future research projects. Systemic flaws were found that will make avoiding even unintended racial bias and other distortions in policing impossible to track or correct in the future without reform. Modern computer technology has been used throughout the country to improve policing practices, including search and seizure practices. To a lesser extent, such technology has been used to track judicial biases and errors. Still, too rarely is attention turned to the combination of potential policing and judicial biases in the specific context of search warrants, though the technology to do so is available. Several experiments are under way, with federal funding, for prosecutors to identify, track, and correct biases in their own offices in other areas. These experiments could provide a model for similar efforts by the police (indeed, the police are included in these prosecutor grants), and the judiciary and the interaction of the prosecutors’, officers’, and judges’ offices.

An article is forthcoming summarizing the suggestions from the data collected and the obstacles faced as a prelude to recommending practical reform methods generally, and perhaps in Pittsburgh specifically. The article will discuss: (1) the need for technology, social scientist involvement, data gathering, and internal and external review and deliberation to promote transparency, accountability, and coordination among the three most relevant actors (judges, prosecutors, and police) to improve the effectiveness and fairness of search warrant practices; (2) what the little prior research on such practices suggests about the need for action; and (3) the psychological, social, and material obstacles to action and how to overcome them.

Funding: $10,000

Residential sorting and changes in neighborhood characteristics were tested in response to the cleanup of hazardous waste sites using restricted access fine-geographical-resolution block data. Changes between 1990 and 2000 were examined in blocks within 5 kilometers of sites that were proposed to the National Priority List that fall in a narrow interval of Hazardous Ranking Scores, comparing blocks near sites that were cleaned with those near sites that were not. Cleanup leads to increases in population density, housing unit density, mean household income, shares of college-educated, and shares of minorities.
• Other things being equal, is a child’s race related to his/her likelihood of being investigated and accepted for services?
• What do child welfare professionals identify as the causes for disproportionality?

Findings:
• African American children are referred to the Allegheny County Office of Children, Youth, and Families (CYF) at three times the rate of White children, with little evidence to suggest that their level of risk or need for services is substantially different than that of White children. The only significant characteristics of African American families according to these data are more frequent contact with CYF, referrals involving more children, and slightly higher rates of children having received public assistance.
• Biracial children are referred at four times the rate compared with White children and were significantly different in their level of risk according to caseworkers at the time of referral, particularly regarding diminished caregiver capacity (physical, emotional, or intellectual) and parenting skills and knowledge.
• Multivariate analyses found that the child’s race significantly predicted case investigation, with African American children being less likely to be investigated than White children. Biracial children were twice as likely to be the subject of an investigation compared with White children.
• There was no evidence in these data of a funneling effect for African American or Biracial children, where disproportionality increases at each decision point (Vandergrift, 2006).
• All of the interviewees felt that being poor and African American were so intertwined that it was impossible to unravel them in order to determine which one caused African American families to be disproportionately involved with child welfare.
• While the individuals interviewed for this study acknowledged that African Americans are disproportionately involved in child protective services, they identified system bias as a major cause and felt that their decisions were based on safety and not race.

All direct recruitment and interviewing were conducted by six experienced African American professionals familiar with the community. Participants were 61 percent female, were a mean age of 44.62 years, and had an educational level that ranged from less than high school (7.3 percent) to high school diploma or GED (22.9 percent), to some college or a college degree (69 percent).

Questionnaire data were analyzed using SPSS 15.0. Responses to most questions did not differ by gender, education level, or site of recruitment, with the exception of the response to four questions. Those with higher educational levels were more likely to report that people with emotional problems could solve them with professional help (p < .05). Those with less education were more likely to believe that those who were in counseling were less likely to be taken seriously (p < .05). And those recruited from the barber shop were significantly more likely than those recruited from the church to say a person should work out his or her own problems (p < .05). Participants recruited from the barber shop also were more likely than those from the church (p < .01) or those from the day care (p < .05) to believe that getting counseling for personal or emotional problems is a sign of weakness.

Qualitative data were collected from 28 in-depth interviews and verified by discussing the themes in two focus groups, as well as a debriefing group held for the interviewers. The interviews and groups were transcribed, coded, and analyzed for themes. Qualitative measures produced a number of stories and examples that revealed important attitudes that could deter the use of mental health services.

Four primary factors appear to be barriers to the use of mental health services: (1) disorders and symptoms often were seen as the result of external factors, including prejudice and environmental stresses; (2) a high value was placed on family privacy (i.e., “What goes on in this house, stays in this house”); (3) possessing the ability to manage problems on your own (with the exception of the problems of children) was important; and (4) there was a lack of awareness of resources in the community.

Those who had previous experience with mental health services reported mixed experiences. Negative reactions included perceived disrespect, cultural insensitivity, and the excessive number of steps to go through before actually receiving help.

The Transition to Adulthood among Child-Welfare Involved Youth: A Qualitative Examination of Race, Gender, and Service Use
Sara Goodkind and Jeffrey Shook
Funding: $10,000

Youth “aging out” of the child welfare system are experiencing two simultaneous transitions—one from the care, protection, and supervision of the child welfare system to a position of autonomy and responsibility, and the second from childhood to adulthood. The latter transition has become increasingly complex in the last 50 years, as the period of transition to adulthood in the U.S. has extended and traditional markers of a successful transition have shifted (Furstenberg et al., 2004). In fact, most Americans do not expect their children to complete the transition to adulthood until they are at least 23 (Shirk & Strangler, 2004). Consequently, many youth are receiving increased financial and social support during this period, especially from their parents. Youth aging out of the child welfare system, however, cannot rely on such support. Further, these youth often face additional challenges related to the abuse and neglect they have experienced, their resulting mental health and educational problems, and the poverty in which many were raised.

Research
This pilot study sought to extend the knowledge base related to young people who age out of the child welfare system through qualitative interviews focused on the experiences of child-welfare involved youth after they turn 18. Interviews and small focus groups were conducted with 45 youth who had aged out or were in the process of aging out of the system. Six service providers were interviewed, which enabled researchers to compare young people’s constructions of their needs with those of service providers. In the past year, research findings were presented at the Society for Social Work and Research annual conference and a report was prepared for the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. There is an academic article currently under review and an additional conference presentation scheduled.

Multilevel Characteristics of Incarcerated Youth and the Role of Race
Michael Vaughn (St. Louis University), Jeffrey Shook, and Sara Goodkind

Funding: $10,000

Although rates of crime and violence have fallen over the past decade, there has been a significant rise in the number of Americans who are incarcerated, including juveniles. Policy and practice disputes continue unabated with respect to the Americans who are incarcerated, including juveniles. Policy and practice disputes continue unabated with respect to the surmount incarcerated youth. Given racial disparities in incarceration, policy decisions need to confront the role that race plays in this phenomenon. Thus, the present study begins the process of addressing the chasm between juvenile justice policy and practice on the one hand and the scientific realities of incarcerated youth on the other.

Race and Perceptions of Sportsmanship
Raymond Jones

Funding: $6,500

Previously, Herbert D. Simons argued in the International Review for the Sociology of Sport (2003) that the sportsmanship code contains inherent racial biases that place African American athletes at a disadvantage relative to Whites. Often penalties for actions or behaviors that are considered unsportsmanlike are inconsistently applied across racial groups. Both verbal as well as nonverbal behaviors in a sports context are interpreted within the prevailing social context. Actions labeled as taunting, trash talking, excessive celebration, dunking, and inciting spectators are external to the focus of competition within the specific sport (e.g., basketball, football), and judgments of these behaviors are quite subjective. This project tested Simons’ assertion that the sportsmanship code is racially biased. This phenomenon not only impacts the effectiveness of an individual athlete’s performance during a game, but also the evaluation of that player as a “leader,” “role model,” or “team player.” These types of biased judgments can impact drafting position and especially revenue generated from product endorsement.

This pilot study on judgments by referees was a preliminary study that researchers used to assess the salience of race in decision-making situations in the competitive context of sports and have used this focus in informing/addressing additional work in related areas.

Seeing is Believing: Perceptions of Support and Career Outcomes Among African American Employees
Audrey Murrell and David Porter (University of California, Los Angeles)

Funding: $10,000

This pilot project involved a Web-based survey to explore the nature of perceptions of organizational support among a sample of African American employees. The focus of the pilot project was to begin to understand the nature of perceived support within a demographic group that has typically been ignored within research. A Web-based survey of previous participants in the African American Leadership Institute held by the Anderson School at UCLA served as the primary sample for this pilot study. The Web survey included measures of perceived support, felt obligation, reciprocation wariness, and experience with discrimination. It also included several outcomes measures (organizational commitment, organizational citizenship, job satisfaction, work alienation).

The pilot project collected a total of 153 responses to date from managers across the country. It received an adequate response from our leadership institute alumni; however concerns expressed by the two organizations prevented wider distribution as planned. Nonetheless, the sample size is sufficient for analysis based on this pilot project and to test the proposed model.
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The center’s journal, Race and Social Problems, is now in its second year. Articles from the first two issues are available online at www.springerlink.com/content/1867-1748.
The journal provides a multidisciplinary and international forum for the publication of articles and discussion of issues germane to race and its enduring relationship to psychological, socioeconomic, political, and cultural issues. It publishes original empirical articles that use a variety of methodologies, including qualitative and quantitative (descriptive, relationship testing, and intervention studies), and papers utilizing secondary data sources. It also publishes nonempirical articles, including reviews of past research, theoretical studies, policy proposals, critical analyses, historical reviews and analyses, and solution-based papers on critical contemporary issues.
To view the journal’s call for papers, go to www.crisp.pitt.edu/publications/CallForPapers.pdf. For additional information about the journal, contact Editor-in-Chief Gary Koese at gkoese@pitt.edu.
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Race in America: Restructuring Inequality
A National Conference
June 3–6, 2010, at the University of Pittsburgh

Despite significant progress in America’s stride toward racial equality, there remains much to be done. Some problems are worse today than they were during the turbulent times of the 1960s. Indeed, racial disparities across a number of areas are blatant—family formation, unemployment among men of color, community violence, incarceration rates, educational disparities, and health and mental health outcomes.

As part of an attempt to redress these race-related problems, CRSP and the School of Social Work played host to a national conference, Race in America: Restructuring Inequality, June 3–6, 2010, on the University of Pittsburgh campus. This conference had as its goal bringing about greater racial equality for all Americans. As our entire society has struggled to recover from a major economic crisis, we believed it was an ideal time to restructure many of our existing systems rather than merely rebuild them as they once were. Our present crisis afforded us the opportunity to start anew to produce a society that promotes greater equality of life outcomes for all of our citizens.

The conference had two parts—daytime sessions and public evening events. The 20 daytime conference sessions held on June 4 and 5, had seven areas of focus: economics, education, criminal justice, race relations, health, mental health, and families/youth/elderly. For each area of focus, the objectives were to:

- Identify the most important and pervasive instances of racial inequalities
- Identify the major national, state and local factors that contribute to the maintenance of these racial inequalities
- Identify actionable steps that can be taken at the federal, state, and local levels to restructure these racial disparities toward greater equity

Speakers at the conference presented existing knowledge on the three objectives relative to their topics, a moderator kept the audience’s questions and comments focused on the three objectives, and the center is now preparing reports on the areas of focus in order to summarize the information provided at the conference.

Objectives for the evening sessions were to educate the public about civil rights history and racial conditions, promote dialogue on current racial issues, and inspire action. Julian Bond gave the keynote address on June 3 to kick off the conference. Julianne Malveaux was the keynote speaker on June 4.

The spring series was sponsored by Reed Smith LLC. The spring 2010 speakers were:

- Marta Tienda, Professor, Princeton University
  “Diversity and its Discontents: Lessons from Higher Education”

The fall series is sponsored by Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC. The fall 2009 speakers were:

- Gary L. D.C. Gates, Associate Professor, Bowling Green State University
  “Examining Five Prominent Explanations for the Black/White School Achievement Gap”

- Irving A. Spergel, Professor Emeritus, University of Chicago
  “The Youth Gang Problem: A Comprehensive Community-Wide Approach”

- Amanda E. Lewis, Associate Professor, Emory University
  “Despite the Best Intentions: Why Racial Inequality Persists in Good Schools”

- Kathy W. Humphrey, Vice Provost and Dean of Students, University of Pittsburgh
  “Race, Ethnicity, and College Student Development: From Theory to Practice”

- Jonathan M. Hurwitz, Professor, University of Pittsburgh
  “Justice in America: The Separate Realities of Blacks and Whites”

- Vincent Hutchings, Professor, University of Michigan
  “Wedge Politics: The Structure and Function of Racial Group Cues in American Politics”

- Abby L. Ferber, Professor, University of Colorado
  “There is more to me than White: Moving from Whiteness Studies to Privilege Studies”

- Abby L. Ferber, Professor, University of Colorado
  “There is more to me than White: Moving from Whiteness Studies to Privilege Studies”

- Jonathan M. Hurwitz, Professor, University of Pittsburgh
  “Justice in America: The Separate Realities of Blacks and Whites”

- Kathy Humphrey

- Amanda Lewis

- Julian Bond

- Irving A. Spergel

- Amanda E. Lewis

- Kathy W. Humphrey

- Jonathan M. Hurwitz

- Vincent Hutchings

- Abby L. Ferber

- Jonathan M. Hurwitz

The center hosts a monthly series of lunchtime lectures during the academic year. These lectures provide an opportunity for faculty, students, and community members to engage in race-related discussions of mutual interest.

CRSP is pleased to have the support of two major Pittsburgh law firms, Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC and Reed Smith LLC. These firms have generously sponsored the speaker series continuously since September 2004.

The center thanks its sponsors for recognizing the importance of race in our society, the necessity of developing solutions to our country’s race-related social problems, and the value of sharing knowledge and engaging in dialogue with interested students, scholars, community leaders, and policy makers.

The fall series is sponsored by Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC. The fall 2009 speakers were:

- Gary L. D.C. Gates, Associate Professor, Bowling Green State University
  “Examining Five Prominent Explanations for the Black/White School Achievement Gap”

- Irving A. Spergel, Professor Emeritus, University of Chicago
  “The Youth Gang Problem: A Comprehensive Community-Wide Approach”

- Amanda E. Lewis, Associate Professor, Emory University
  “Despite the Best Intentions: Why Racial Inequality Persists in Good Schools”

- Kathy W. Humphrey, Vice Provost and Dean of Students, University of Pittsburgh
  “Race, Ethnicity, and College Student Development: From Theory to Practice”

- Jonathan M. Hurwitz, Professor, University of Pittsburgh
  “Justice in America: The Separate Realities of Blacks and Whites”

The spring series was sponsored by Reed Smith LLC. The spring 2010 speakers were:

- Marta Tienda, Professor, Princeton University
  “Diversity and its Discontents: Lessons from Higher Education”

- Vincent Hutchings, Professor, University of Michigan
  “Wedge Politics: The Structure and Function of Racial Group Cues in American Politics”

- Abby L. Ferber, Professor, University of Colorado
  “There is more to me than White: Moving from Whiteness Studies to Privilege Studies”

- Jonathan M. Hurwitz, Professor, University of Pittsburgh
  “Justice in America: The Separate Realities of Blacks and Whites”

- Kathy Humphrey

- Amanda Lewis

- Julian Bond

The conference had two parts—daytime sessions and public evening events. The 20 daytime conference sessions held on June 4 and 5, had seven areas of focus: economics, education, criminal justice, race relations, health, mental health, and families/youth/elderly. For each area of focus, the objectives were to:

- Identify the most important and pervasive instances of racial inequalities
- Identify the major national, state and local factors that contribute to the maintenance of these racial inequalities
- Identify actionable steps that can be taken at the federal, state, and local levels to restructure these racial disparities toward greater equity

Speakers at the conference presented existing knowledge on the three objectives relative to their topics, a moderator kept the audience’s questions and comments focused on the three objectives, and the center is now preparing reports on the areas of focus in order to summarize the information provided at the conference.

Objectives for the evening sessions were to educate the public about civil rights history and racial conditions, promote dialogue on current racial issues, and inspire action. Julian Bond gave the keynote address on June 3 to kick off the conference. Julianne Malveaux was the keynote speaker on June 4. The evening of
The conference is expected to help community leaders to improve racial conditions in the next few years. Conference presentations and discussions generated many ideas for solutions to racial problems. The reports on the conference will capture important ideas and enable the center to disseminate the information more widely than just to conference attendees. Conference reports, PowerPoint presentations, and videos will be posted at www.crsp.pitt.edu.

Homewood Children’s Village

The Connn-Univer-City of Pittsburgh is an integrated program of research, teaching, and service designed to investigate and ameliorate social problems that disproportionately impact economically disadvantaged children, families, and communities. Through the Connn-Univer-City of Pittsburgh initiative, an interdisciplinary team of faculty and students will work with Homewood residents, faith- and community-based organizations, the Allegheny County Department of Human Services, Pittsburgh Public Schools, United Way of Allegheny County, and other individuals and organizations to initiate the Homewood Children’s Village project. The Homewood Children’s Village is an adaptation of Geoffrey Canada’s internationally acclaimed Harlem Children’s Zone, a New York, N.Y.-based comprehensive community initiative. The research portion of the project engages faculty, students, and community members in community-based participatory research projects designed to produce actionable knowledge and community members in community-based participatory research projects designed to produce actionable knowledge. The research portion of the project engages faculty, students, and community members in community-based participatory research projects designed to produce actionable knowledge and community members in community-based participatory research projects designed to produce actionable knowledge. The research portion of the project engages faculty, students, and community members in community-based participatory research projects designed to produce actionable knowledge and community members in community-based participatory research projects designed to produce actionable knowledge. The research portion of the project engages faculty, students, and community members in community-based participatory research projects designed to produce actionable knowledge and community members in community-based participatory research projects designed to produce actionable knowledge.

Youth Crime Prevention

As directed by the United Way Youth Crime Prevention Council, the purpose of Environmental Scan of Programs that Address Youth Violence in Selected Pittsburgh and Allegheny County Neighborhoods is to conduct an environmental scan of the presence, content, and capacity of programs that address youth violence in two City of Pittsburgh and two Allegheny County neighborhoods. These four neighborhoods—Homewood and the Northside in the city and Wilkinsburg and Braddock (North Braddock, Braddock, and Rankin) in the county—have been identified as high-risk for youth violence but also are well positioned to initiate a community-mobilization strategy to address youth violence.
Study Abroad in Cuba

Cuban Social Policy Issues, a one-credit study-abroad course offered during the spring 2010 term, included a trip to Cuba in March. The course focused on economics, housing, education, public health, gender concerns, social security, and social work. The purpose of the course was to enhance students’ understanding of the ways in which Cuba formulates and implements policies aimed at contemporary social issues and to provide them with an opportunity to observe firsthand the Cuban system. Sixteen students from various graduate schools at Pitt traveled to Cuba as part of the School of Social Work’s international education program. Prior to the trip, the class met regularly in Pittsburgh to discuss readings and hear presentations by Cuban experts.

Course work included reading A Nation for All: Race, Inequality, and Politics in Twentieth-Century Cuba; by Alejandro de la Fuente, associate professor of history at Pitt, and attending lectures by de la Fuente and Larry Glasco, also associate professor of history at Pitt.

While in Cuba, students conducted research on social issues and policies and wrote papers on topics of their choice. The trip consisted of eight days of lectures and site visits (see below) and the opportunity to attend meetings with key officials and social service providers. The University of Havana served as the host university and scheduled the lectures and site visits.

Lecturers and their topics at the University of Havana were:
- Alberto Prieto: Cuban history, present life, and the education system
- Alexander Seguí: public health
- Mauricio Blanco: civil and constitutional rights
- Miguel Colalís: city development
- Silvio Calvez: education

The class also visited Havana’s historic center; health, mental health, elderly, and community centers; the Cuban Women’s Federation Center; and Pinar del Rio, a nature reserve.

This course was so successful that the school anticipates making future trips to Cuba.

Course: Race and Social Problems

Each year, the center sponsors a graduate-level course through the School of Social Work. Special Topics: Race and Social Problems, taught by CRSP Associate Director Ralph Bangs, features readings, documentaries, guest speakers, and class discussions that address race in relation to all six of the center’s areas of focus (economic and education disparities; intergroup relations; mental health; youth, families, and the elderly; and criminal justice).

The goals of the course are to: (1) make students aware of past and current racism and discrimination, racial disparities, and the sources and consequences of those disparities and (2) identify individual, community, and governmental actions that could be taken to address racial disparities in the nation.

Course: Secondary Data Analysis on Race and Social Problems

This doctoral course on the use of existing survey data is taught by John Wallace Jr., includes such topics as review of past findings, framing testable questions, fundamentals of survey data analysis, and writing for publication.

Living Learning Community: The Research Experience

In partnership with the Office of Residence Life, CRSP cosponsors one of the University’s Living Learning Communities (LLC). LLCs are special floors in undergraduate-residence halls where small groups of students with similar interests live together and work on projects beyond the classroom.

The CRSP-sponsored LLC is called The Research Experience. In 2009–10, four undergraduate students were paired with CRSP faculty associates to work on social science research projects, thus gaining experience and knowledge in the design and execution of research; grant writing; and writing, presenting, and publishing research findings.

The projects, faculty mentors, and students were:
- Homeownership—William Elliott and Alisha Linssala
- Homeward Property Assessments Around Westinghouse High School—John M. Wallace Jr. and Meghan Gallagher

Student Paper Award

Each year, the center solicits students to submit papers that address contemporary race-related social issues. The University-wide competition is open to both undergraduate and graduate students. A $500 honorarium is awarded to the student with the best paper. As part of the process, students are encouraged to work with a faculty sponsor to submit their papers to the appropriate journals for publication.

Terri Friedline is the recipient of the 2009–10 award for her work, titled “Predicting Savings from Adolescence to Young Adulthood: Different Pathways for Blacks and Whites?” We would like to thank all the faculty members who served as reviewers in this year’s student paper award: Ralph Bangs, Kathleen Blee, Cynthia Bradley-Pugh, William Elliott, Rafael Engel, Laurence Glasco, Sara Goodkind, David Harris, David Herring, Jonathan Hurwitz, Kevin Kim, Gary Koeseke, Irene Lietz, Judith Toure, John M. Wallace Jr., Lu-in Wang, Hide Yamatani, and Michael Yonas.
Faculty and Staff

Faculty members are from the University of Pittsburgh unless noted and are indicated by discipline only.

Center on Race and Social Problems Staff

Larry E. Davis, Director
Ralph Bangs, Associate Director
Michael Newman, Administrative Assistant
Megan Soltesz, Finance and Business Manager,
School of Social Work
Hide Yamatani, Associate Dean for Research, School of Social Work

Faculty Associates

Ellen Ansell, Education
Heather Bachman, Psychology in Education
Shirley Biggs, Education
Andy Blair, Provost
Kathleen Blee, Sociology
Alfred Blumstein, Carnegie Mellon University
Betty Braxter, Nursing
Chris Briem, University Center for Social and Urban Research
Charlotte Brown, Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic (WPIC)
Wade Buckland, Education
Dee Burgess, Nursing
Jessica Burke, Public Health
Carolyn Carson, Urban Studies
Pat Chew, Law
Rosalind Chow, Carnegie Mellon University
Moe Coad, Institute of Politics
Kyaen Conner, WPIC
Deborah Conway, Education
Mario Cruz, WPIC
Vivian Curran, Law
Jaime Delaney, Psychology in Education
Willa Dorsey, Nursing
Daniel Friedson, Law
Kathy Glass, Duquesne University
Susan Hansen, Political Science
David Harris, Law
Dorothy Hawthorne, Nursing
David Herring, Law
Melanie Hildebrandt, Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Mark Hoelstra, Economics
Rodney Hopson, Duquesne University
Kathy Humphrey, Student Affairs
John Harvitz, Political Science
Ray Jones, Business
Sandra Jordan, Law
Mary Kerr, Education
Kevin Kim, Education
Alan LeGold, Education

Irene Lietz, Carlson University
Eugene Lincoln, Education
Maureen McClure, Education
Audrey Murrell, Business
Sharon Nelson Le Gai, Psychology
Erik Ness, Education
Maureen Porter, Education
Ralph Proctor, Community College of Allegheny County
Lara Pulnham, History
Alice Scales, Education
Laura Scharphorn, Psychology in Education
Janel Schofield, Learning Research and Development Center
Ravi Sharma, Public Health
Denny Shaw, Psychology
Cathy Sigmund, Geneva College
Bob Strauss, Carnegie Mellon University
Melissa Swauger, Carlow University
Andy Tufts, Law (Visiting)
Jerome Taylor, African Studies
Ken Thompson, WPIC
Julia Tuta, Law
Joan Voder, Psychology in Education
Elizabeth Votrubova-Orazi, Psychology
Lu-Wu Wang, Law
Angela Williams Foster, Public Policy
Michael Yonas, UPMC

Academic Advisory Committee

Kathleen Blee (chair), Sociology
Alfred Blumstein, Carnegie Mellon University School of Public Policy and Management
Willa Dorsey, Nursing
Joe Feagin, Texas A&M University Department of Sociology
Sara Goodkind, Social Work
Patricia Gurin, University of Michigan Program on Intergroup Relations
James Jackson, University of Michigan Institute for Social Research
Ruth McCoy, Boston College Graduate School of Social Work
Audrey Murrell, Business
Edmund Ricci, Public Health
Janet Schofield, Learning Research and Development Center
Richard Schulz, University Center for Social and Urban Research
Michael Sherraden, Washington University in St. Louis
George Warren Brown School of Social Work
Robert Taylor, University of Michigan School of Social Work
Stephan Thomas, Public Health
John M. Wallace Jr., Social Work
Lu-Wu Wang, Law
Hide Yamatani, Social Work

The Center on Race and Social Problems is grateful for funding and research support from the following:

Corporations

The Anderson-Dubose Company
BNH Mellon Corporation
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC
EQT Corporation
Highmark Blue Cross Blue Shield
PMC Financial Services Group, Inc.
Reed Smith LLC

Foundations

The Buhl Foundation
Falk Foundation
Ford Foundation, Institute of International Education, Inc.
The Heinz Endowments
Jewish Healthcare Foundation
The Lois Samuel Silberman Faculty Fund
The National Dream Fund—The Ford Foundation, Marguerite Carys Foundation, Carnegie Corporation of New York,
Charles Stewart Mott Foundation, Open Society Institute,
and the Falk Foundation
The Pittsburgh Foundation
Richard King Mellon Foundation
Stauton Farm Foundation
Three Rivers Community Foundation

Government

City of Pittsburgh

Nonprofits

Operation Better Block

Universities

Boston College, Graduate School of Social Work
Bryn Mawr College, Graduate School of Social Work and Social Research
Case Western Reserve University, Mandel School of Applied Social Sciences
Fordham University, Graduate School of Social Service
Howard University, School of Social Work
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, School of Social Work
University of Buffalo, School of Social Work
University of Georgia, School of Social Work
University of Houston, Graduate College of Social Work
University of Illinois at Chicago, Jane Addams College of Social Work
University of Kentucky, College of Social Work
University of Maryland, Baltimore, School of Social Work
University of Michigan, School of Social Work
University of Texas at Austin, School of Social Work
University of Washington, School of Social Work
Washington University in St. Louis, George Warren Brown School of Social Work

University of Pittsburgh

Central Research Development Fund
College of General Studies
Computing Services and Systems Development
Division of Student Affairs
Graduate School of Public and International Affairs
Graduate School of Public Health
Learning Research and Development Center
Office of Institutional Advancement and the Pitt Alumni Association
Office of the Provost
Office of Student Life
Office of the Vice Provost for Research
Office of the Vice Provost for Undergraduate Studies
School of Arts and Sciences
School of Dental Medicine
School of Education
School of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences
School of Information Sciences
School of Law
School of Medicine
School of Nursing
School of Pharmacy
Swanson School of Engineering
University Center for International Studies
University Center for Social and Urban Research
University Honors College
University Library System
University of Pittsburgh at Bradford
University of Pittsburgh at Titusville